



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,676	10/17/2003	David H. Griesinger	11336-641 (P98007USC)	9358
757	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS	
CHICAGO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' '	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	10/687,676	GRIESINGER, DAVID H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Susan McFadden	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Octo	ober 2003.					
_	and the contract of the contra					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 39-84 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-84</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
,						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau (-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
/						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/687,676

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is the same as US Patent No. 6,697,491. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 39-84 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,491. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both comprise: a decoder for decoding a plurality of audio input signals into a plurality of audio output signals, the decoder comprising: steering signal logic in communication with the audio

Application/Control Number: 10/687,676

Art Unit: 2654

input signals, the steering signal logic producing a plurality of steering signals, and at least one matrix comprising matrix coefficients, the matrix is in communication with the steering signal logic and the audio input signals, the matrix combines the audio input signals with the matrix coefficients to produce a plurality of signals; where, when the signals are combined to produce the output signals, a total power in the audio output signals is substantially equal to a total power of the audio input signals.

Claims 39-41,42-44,45-46,64,65-67,68-70,71,72,78,79-81, and 82-84 are similar to claim 1 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claims 47 and 73 are similar to claim 2 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claim 48 is similar to claim 6 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claims 49-50 are similar to claim 4 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claims 51,59,60, and 61 are similar to claim 11 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claims 52,53, and 62 are similar to claim 13 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Claim 63 is similar to claim 9 of US Patent No. 6,697,491.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The

Art Unit: 2654

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2654

February 15, 2006